



T&D SERVICES

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

PRODUCTS

Overcoming utility infrastructure challenges by merging excellence in engineering, science and technology with a passion for client satisfaction.



Transmission Division

Method for repairing OPGW in proximity to energized conductors

COSTA RICA'S TRANSMISSION GRID OVERVIEW







€ 🙆 🥮 🙀 🗬 🔗 | 2024

COSTA RICA'S TRANSMISSION GRID OVERVIEW





Total km for 138 and 230 kV Power Lines

Total km of Transmission Power Lines

2024



INTRODUCTION

The objective of this work is to present a procedure for the repair of a damaged OPGW conductor, in the proximity of energized transmission lines of 138 kV or higher.

This methodology is intended to be applied in a transmission line where is not posible to use heavy equipment, such as pullers or tensioners. In this specific case, it was not possible to use helicopters for the repair (there is no helicopter company certified in Costa Rica for this kind of activity).

This procedure was carried out by Costa Rican Institute of Electricity, Transmission Division, in a 230 kV power line, in October 2022, with the assessment of CACIER (Argentine Electrical Interconection Committee).





COMMON CAUSES OF DAMAGE IN OPGW IN COSTA RICA













REPAIRING OPGW IN COSTA RICA, SOME CONSIDERATIONS



Difficult access to work sites, for vehicles and heavy equipment, such as pullers or tensioners

Work sites inside mountains zone, some of them in National Parks





Work sites with access roads blocked due to natural phenomena, such as floods, earthquakes, etc.





It is not advisable to use parallel lines directly fixed to the OPGW plate.

The OPGW is, in many cases, at a short distance from the conductors, the lineman would be in the zone of variable potential, where the risk of electric arc increases.











Although, the use of helicopter is a very useful tool in power line maintenance, actually there are not in Costa Rica helicopter companies certified for this kind of work.

The helicopter companies in Costa Rica are restricted from flying near transmission lines, mainly due to their insurance policies.







€ Ø ♥ ₩ € Ø 2024

OPGW REPAIR, 31-32

LT SAN MIGUEL-LINDORA 138 / 230KV

COSTA RICA



DETAILS:

- Electric circuits:
 - 2 138 kV
 - 2 230 kV
- Tower total heights :
 - T32: 180 ft (60m)
 - T31: 120 ft (40m)



• Span length: 960 ft (320 m)





















STEP 1: Crane type aluminum derrick installation





€ 🙆 🥞 🙀 😝 🎯 | 2024

COLOR CODES



STEP 1: Crane type aluminum derrick installation





€ 2024

COLOR CODES

- Derrick suport cable
- Anti twisting Steel rope
- Derrick anchor cable

STEP 2: Installation of guide cable and safety line





Gerencia de Electricidad División Transmisión

€ Ø ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ Ø 2024





COLOR CODES

Support rope

Anti twisting Steel rope

€ 🙆 🤤 🙀 🗬 🎯 | 2024

STEP 3: Test with a 220 lb (100 kg) dead weight





€ 🙆 🥞 🙀 🗬 🔗 | 2024





COLOR CODES

Support rope

Anti twisting Steel rope

€ 🙆 🥞 🙀 🗬 🥱 | 2024

STEP 4: Lineman placed for OPGW repair





€ 🙆 🥞 🙀 🗬 🔗 | 2024

STEP 5: Lineman gets out of the line, repair finished





€ Ø ♥ ₩ ₹ Ø | 2024









FINAL NOTES

The repair of this OPGW was carried out without any inconvenience.

ICE is considering the use of helicopters for repairing this type of damages, among another maintenance works, but the main problem is that actually there are no helicopters companies in Costa Rica certified for power line works.

The damage of OPGW in Costa Rica by lightning is very common in Costa Rica, because the most of the lines have an OPGW with a low fault current, so they were installed before the newest technical requirements.

THANK YOU





The star



International Conference on Overhead Lines Design, Construction, Inspection & Maintenance April 15-18, 2024 Fort Collins, Colorado USA



The Dulling Process is A Science!



Presented by: Ajay Mallik, P.E.

President & CEO, SANPEC, Inc. Ph: 832-392-4230; Email: ajmallik@sanpec.com

April 15, 2024

Shiny / Dull Structure







Shiny Structure



Why Dulling?

Servironmental and Permitting **Restrictions BLM Requirements** Seflectivity of Newly Galvanized steel Second Visibility and Driving Issues **Solution** Disturbance of Wildlife and surrounding nature



Note: Dulling is NOT a Painting Process. There is NO ASTM /NACE Standard available for Dulling Process.

Do we need to develop a Technical Report or Standard????

Dulling Method

How to achieve less-reflective, and dull/matte finish **Seflectivity:** Sewly Galvanized: 75%-70% **Solution** Dulled Finish: %Light/Med/Dark \$33%/22%/10% Solution Natural weathering Schemical treatments







Science behind Dulling? It is a Science Project. NO Guessing??? **Requires** several Trials Schallenges: Steel Chemistry Solvanizing Bath Composition STime/Temp/Concertation of **Dulling Bath S**Dipping Time SQuenching and Rinsing Process Season Process **Uniformity of appearance**

Transmission Towers

Tower Components (Typical): Structural Angles (78%) Steel Plates (10%) Steel Plates (7%) Sinc (5%)

ASTM Steel Grade (Common): A36, A572 Gr. 50, Gr 60. Silicon % : < = 0.4% Max Phosphorous: 0.04% Max A709 Gr. 50S Silicon % : < = 0.4% Max Phosphorous: 0.035% Max



Modern Steel

Scrap Based As-rolled Conditions Selectric Arc Furnace Scontinuous Casting Silicon-Killed (Deoxidation) Solution (Expensive method) Solution States (Manganese, Vanadium, Columbium, Prosperous etc.) Show Carbon and High Manganese Several Foreign stinger inclusions

It is very important to specify the right requirements of steel for appearance of galvanized and dulled finish.

Steel Chemistry

 ASTM A 385 recommends limits and ranges for steel chemistry to ensure a high-quality galvanized coating
 Primary elements are silicon, phosphorus, Manganese, and carbon content in steel

Selements affect galvanizing finish:

- ✤ Carbon in excess of 0.25%
- ✤ Phosphorous in excess of 0.04%
- ✤ Manganese of about 1.3%

 \clubsuit Silicon in the range of 0.04% to 0.15% or above 0.22%

Solution Where appearance is important:

For cold rolled steel:

Si < 0.03 and Si + 2.5 x P < 0.04 weight percent For hot rolled steel:

Si < 0.02 and Si + 2.5 x P < 0.09 weight percent



Galvanizing Bath

Purity of Zinc (more than 98.5%)
Remaining 1.5% consists of additives
Aluminum (AL): 0.16 to 0.20%
Iron (Fe): 0.015 to 0.03%
Nickel (Ni)
Bismuth
Lead (pb)/Antimony(sb): Free (pb/sb)



✤ Bath Temp:865-880 Deg F



Galvanizing Coatings

- Steel surface should be perfectly cleaned Form metallurgical bond between the zinc and the underlying steel or iron
- Coating microstructures consist of three alloy layers and a layer of pure zinc:
 - The thin Gamma layer composed of an alloy that is 75% zinc and 25% iron
 - ✤ The Delta layer composed of an alloy that is 90% zinc and 10% iron,
 - The Zeta layer composed of an alloy that is 94% zinc and 6% iron
 - The outer Eta layer that is composed of pure zinc



Eta (100% Zn) 70 DPN Hardness Zeta (94% Zn 6% Fe) 179 DPN Hardness

Delta (90% Zn 10% Fe) 244 DPN Hardness

Gamma (75% Zn 25% Fe) 250 DPN Hardness

Base Steel 159 DPN Hardness 11

Reactive Steel

Steel chemistry outside recommended limits and ranges for galvanizing "Reactive Steel"

- Intermetallic Structure is NOT tightly compact and forms tall and vertical columns
- Allow free iron particles to migrate to the top of zinc coating



Dulling Bath

Chemical Treatments such as acidic Zinc-Phosphate and other proprietary solutions.

- Deposit of fine grain zinc phosphate crystals
- Important Considerations:
 - Quenching after galvanizing
 - Concentration of chemical solions
 - Daily checking of concentration
 - Temp of dulling bath
 - Dipping Time
 - Vertical hanging vs Slant hanging of galvanized materials in the zig





Dulling Challenges

- Challenges in achieving the uniformity because steel is NOT a homogeneous material
- No guarantee for dulling color to stay same due to influence of atmospheric conditions and self weathering process






Dulling Issues

NOT following the proper Dulling Process White Residue/Deposits/White Rust Variations in Reflectivity









Discolored Steel



Discolored Steel



Wet Storage Stains

Also Known as "White Residue/ Rust"

- Occur due to oxidation on a newly galvanized and dulled surface with presence of moisture and absence of free flowing oxygen
- Protective Zinc patina is not allowed to form by converting zinc oxides to zinc carbonates
- To avoid wet storage stains:
 - Proper method of transportation and storage
 - Adequate air flow
 - Prevent standing water
 - Proper method of passivation

Dulling in NOT a Passivation process.



Conclusions

- Dulling Process is a Science project requires serval trial and error methods to achieve desirable appearance
- Alloy elements in the steel such as silicon, phosphorus, manganese and carbon content, can affect the dulling appearance and thickness of the coating
- Study the steel chemistry before galvanizing and Dulling process
- Create recipes based on grade, thickness and size of steel members
- Avoid Silicon content in the range of 0.04% to 0.15% or above 0.22%
- Perform sample tests and add Bath Alloy (Al, Ni, Bi etc.) accordingly
- Avoid Lead (pb)/Antimony (sb) in galvanize bath
- Follow proper dulling process and Monitor each step very closely and keep the record
- No guarantee for dulling color to stay same due to influence of atmospheric conditions and self weathering process
- Perform Humidity and Salt Spray test on final finished product
- Establish a bundling, shipping and storage procedure to avoid wet storage stains or white rust

Do we need to develop a Technical Report or Standard????¹⁹

Questions???

The Dulling Process is A Science!



Thank you!!!!

Ajay Mallik, P.E.

President & CEO, SANPEC, Inc. Ph: 832-392-4230; Email: ajmallik@sanpec.com Wood poles: environmentally positive until the end -Timber Circularity in Australia

Tripti Singh

Director, The National Centre for Timber Durability and Design Life



TIMBER POLES IN AUSTRALIA

- Wooden poles are commonly used particularly in rural and regional areas
 - Most cost-effective, practical
 - Sustainable forestry practice and responsible timber sourcing
 - Acknowledged for positive environmental impacts
 - Approximately 50% of replacement utility poles are made out of wood
 - In the end, many poles end up in landfills





WOOD WASTE IN AUSTRALIA





Over 2.5 million tonnes of timber waste annually*

* Maqsood et al, 2019



Timber Circularity project in Australia

Aim: A comprehensive programme aimed at assisting timber users in identifying suitable pathways for repurposing treated and EWPs



CIRCULAR ECONOMY



- In the circular economy, resources are utilized in a manner that minimises waste and maximises the reuse, recycling and regeneration of materials and products.
- This approach contrasts with the traditional linear economy model, where resources are extracted, used once and then disposed of as waste.



CIRCULAR ECONOMY



The Ellen MacArthur Foundation

infographic on the flow of materials in CE

- Timber is the ultimate renewable resource We need to cascade it through multiple life cycles
- Currently, about 60% of the timber resource wasted is going to landfills - the rest is predominantly burnt for energy

80% average resource recovery rates from all waste streams

CURRENTLY Biological resources are not used efficiently.



AUSTRALIAN CIRCULAR ECONOMY

Circular Economy by 2030.

Circular economy model for Australia could generate A\$1,860 billion in direct economic benefits over 20 years*.

Circular economy model could save 165 million tonnes of CO2 per year by 2040*.







Australian Circular Economy Hub Diagram

CONSTRAINS AND DRIVERS FOR TIMBER CIRCULARITY

- Widely dispersed
- Perceived as low-value material
- Chemicals/resins
- Fasteners
- Inconsistence regulations

- Options EWPs/Resawing
- Increasing land fill cost
- Limited landfill capacity
- Increased waste disposal levies
- Product stewardship
- CE mandate



TIMBER CIRCULARITY PROJECT

The NCTDDL-led project focuses on streams of wood products that are difficult in end-of-life situations

 Outdoor treated timbers including vineyard posts and utility poles, treated timber in buildings, and engineered wood products





COLLABORATORS



PROJECT TASKS





The volume of Removed Vineyard Posts in Australia By Location



VINEYARD POSTS

		ATTRITION RATE	CCA POSTS REMOVED	CREOSOTE PO REMOVED	
NEW SOUT	H WALES	2%	300040	155329	455369
QUEENSLAI	ND	2%	5950	715	6665
SOUTH AUS	STRALIA	2%	682061	185008	867069
TASMANIA		2%	20639	0	20639
VICTORIA		2%	230857	46377	277234
WESTERN A	USTRALIA	2%	96727	0	96727
NATIONAL		2%	1336275	387430	1723705
	SOUTH AUS		CCA POSTS REMOVED	CREOSOTE POST REMOVED	TOTAL POSTS REMOVED
	All regions	s at 2%	682061	185008	867070
	Langhorn Riverland	^	2472607	736784	3209391

POST STOCKPILES



Condition of stockpile posts

POST ANALYSIS

RELATIVE AMOUNTS OF CCA IN RADIATA PINE POSTS

REGION	VINEYARD	YEAR		Average Retention by Element (%)				
				Cu	Cr	As	Total	
L <mark>an</mark> ghorne	A	1997	%	0.17	0.25	0.21	0.64	
			Balance	0.27	0.39	0.34		
	В	1997	%	0.14	0.20	0.18	0.51	
			Balance	0.27	0.38	0.35		
	С	2002	%	0.16	0.23	0.21	0.61	
			Balance	0.27	0.38	0.35		
Adelaide	D	1997	%	0.19	0.30	0.23	0.72	
Hills			Balance	0.26	0.42	0.32		
	E	2003	%	0.24	0.35	0.12	0.70	
			Balance	0.34	0.49	0.17		
	F	2007	%	0.19	0.26	0.25	0.71	
			Balance	0.27	0.37	0.36		
AS/NZS 160)4	Balance	Standard	23-25	38-45	30-37	100	







POST ANALYSIS



CCA RETENTION IN SAPWOOD ZONES OF CCA-TREATED VINEYARD POSTS



EXAMPLES OF CCA VINEYARD POSTS SPRAYED WITH VARIAMINE BLUE FOR DETECTING HEARTWOOD AND CHROME AZUROL S TO DETECT COPPER PENETRATION



Regulatory hurdles

REGULATORY HURDLES

COMPARISON OF KEY ASPECTS BY JURISDICTION

ACT	NSW	NT	QLD	SA	TAS	VIC	WA	
\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	ENE
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
			\checkmark	\checkmark				
	\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark	
	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
	ACT A	V ACT V V V V V V V V V V	ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT	ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT ACT	V V V ACT V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V </td <td>Image: state stat</td> <td>Image: state stat</td> <td>Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: No</td>	Image: state stat	Image: state stat	Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: Normalized state Image: No

GEOSPATIAL MAPPING

The amount of timber resources is being geospatially mapped Currently, the mapping includes all wine regions and the annual post-attrition numbers



GEOSPATIAL MAPPING

Frame and truss and EWPs facilities have also been mapped. EWP facilities are both a resource provider and potential users of timber waste. Councils with circular economy or zero waste strategies will be referenced as potential areas to support recycling infrastructure



PROJECT ACTIVITIES

VOLUMES

- Industry surveys
- Site visits
- Data collection & analysis

ANALYSIS

- Visual inspections
- Survey and discussion
- Retention analyses

- REGULATIONS
- Regulator \bullet Interviews
 - State-by- \bullet state analysis

END-OF-LIFE TREATED TIMBER POSTS

Material Info. sheets

LOGISTICS

- Cost comparisons
- Waste charges
- Resource

cost

SOLUTIONS

- Literature \bullet Review
- Industry \bullet Discussion
- Available tech.
- Events \bullet
- Workshops 0

MAPPING

Geospatial ulletMapping

6

- Info. \bullet
 - Collation

 \bullet

 \bullet

PILOT Meetings with Industry

- Discussions with Product Stewardship groups/ Gov. etc.
- Workshops
- Testing



	AUSTRALIAN WINE GEOGR	GIS DATA	TIONS	https://win	neaustralia maps ar
		VINEYARD AREA (Ha)	SURVEY TOTAL AREA (Ha)	%	
	NSW	AREA (na)	ANEA (Ha)	Surveyed	
	BIG RIVERS			_	
	MURRAY DARLING NSW				In Vic Data below
	PERRICOOTA	416		0	
REPORT	RIVERINA	17248	2954	17.12662	
REPORT	SWAN HILL	2086	1624	77.85235	(Swan Hill NSW =
CTOBER 2023	CENTRAL RANGES				
	COWRA	932	170	18.24034	1000
1. 1. 2	MUDGEE	1922	30.5	1.586889	Hunter
	ORANGE	1060	1027.5	96.93396	and a second
140 100	HUNTER VALLEY				1 James
11 1 2	HUNTER	2609	724	27.7501	
1.10	BROKE FORDWICH	510	0	0	
1.00	POKOLBIN	1355	0	0	
	UPPER HUNTER VALLEY	471	0	0	
- 1A	NORTHERN RIVERS				
	HASTINGS RIVER	13	56	430.7692	Problem with dat
ober 2023	NORTHERN SLOPES				incorrect location
	NEW ENGLAND AUSTRALIA	77	0	0	- At
	SOUTH COAST				-South Coast
	SHOALHAVEN COAST	41	20	48.78049	
	SOUTHERN HIGHLANDS	140	68	48.57143	1- tot
	SOUTHERN NSW				-
	CANBERRA DISTRICT	330	13	3.939394	= 11
	HILLTOPS	595	232	38.9916	TT I
	GUNDAGAI	595	250	42.01681	11
	TUMBARUMBA	215	2	0.930233	

	https://win	eaustralia maps arcg	is.com/app	s/dashb
TAL	%			100
a)	% Surveyed			
			-	-
				g Rivers
		In Vic Data below	and by	
	17.12662		*	No
	77.85235	(Swan Hill NSW = 1	509. Vic =	115)
	18.24034	12-5	2.	
	1.586889	Hunter Val	lev	
	96.93396		- N	
	27.7501	a long	- 4	
	27.7501	1	100	

- probably enter



MATRIX FOR SOLUTIONS

Waste Hierarchy (Reuse opportunities first through the landfill last)

POTENTIAL	CURRENTLY	ORGANISATION	LOCATION	DETAILS	TYPE OF TIMBER	ECONOMICS	ECOLOGICAL	TECHNICAL ISSUES	SCALE	REGULATIONS	CASE STUDY
SOLUTIONS	AVAILABLE						CONSIDERATIONS				
Reuse as rending Posts	(NOL available in Australia)			at site to		feasibility in Australia.	processing	No technical or availability issues	WOBILE/ UNSITE	processed on-site:	
Finger-jointing CCA	Australia	NA	NA	Have been made	CCA POSTS	May cost more than new post,	Highest use - least		REGIONAL/	Outside regulatory barriers if	NO
Posts		na -	ING.	and tested but no-	CCAPOSIS	depending on scale, but	processing			processed on-site?	NO
10505	X			one doing this		reduced disposal costs.	Requires glues	Could be mobile.	NODILE, ONSITE	processed on site.	
				commercially.			Can double life of post	Joint not as strong as rest of post.			
ENGINEERED WOO	D PRODUCTS (F	RECYCLING)		,				,,			
Glulam		Megabeam	Caloundra.	Treated frame &	Sawn timber offcuts.	Megabeam collect F&T offcuts	Transport backload	Working example. Require finger	GLULAM	QLD fits within EOW codes. May	YES
		···· j ··	QLD		Treated F&T offcuts.	2		3 1 1 3	FACILITIES	not be able to do this in other	
				than 300mm are	Potentially CCA	Do not charge for collection.	virgin timber	, , ,		states. Could potentially use CCA	
	1			finger jointed and	treated wood	_	More processing			treated timber if regulations	
1				glued.			required - finger jointing			supported use. Beams treated	
							and gluing			after made.	
Particleboard		Laminex			Treated timber.	Chipping and delivery required	2 I	Currently accepting specified sized		QLD fits within EOW codes. May	YES
			8.	timber chips for	EWP	by third party. Currently, are	for virgin timber		FACILITIES	not be able to do this in other	
			Hazelmere,	particleboard - EWP		not prepared to pay for this	Transportation of chips -			states. Could potentially use CCA	
	*		WA	or PTT	treated wood.	resource (although they do	compared with virgin	timber and EWP.		treated timber if regulations	
						pay for recycled chips for	material?	Also require testing to accept CCA		supported use.	
						burning, but considerably less than virgin chips)		treated timber - ie adhesives may need adjusting.			
Particleboard		D&R Henderson	Benalla, Vic		Will accept H2F.	than wrgin thips)		need adjusting.			MAYBE
Falticleboald		Darthenderson	benana, vic		Find out whether						MATEL
					they accept CCA as						
	1				well as other						
					treatments						
Particleboard		Borgs	Oberon, NSW	Obtains chips to	Treated timber.	Chips supplied by Redirect	Find out whether back	Obtain details during site visit	PARTICLEBOARD	NSW - find out whether they	YES
	1			specification from	EWP	Recycling - Borg's recycling	loaded? Amount of		FACILITIES	need special licence.	
				sister company	Potentially CCA	arm.	recycled resource used				
				Redirect Recycling	treated wood.		compared with virgin.				
Chipping for		Redirect Recycle	Sommersby,	Collects timber	Find out details	Find out details during site visit	-	Find out details during site visit	TRANSFER AND	NSW - find out whether they	YES
Particleboard			NSW	including EWP and	during site visit		site visit		PROCESSING	need special licence.	
	1			F&T for chipping					FACILITY		
				and delivery to							
Scrimber		NA	NA	Borgs, Oberon. Engineered wood-	Potentially any wood	Requires further research	Could potential use	Developed in the 70s by CSIRO	Requires further	Uncure	NO
Schilder		T NPA	INA	based structural	resource	Requires further research	· · · ·	and now being further developed		Unsure	NO
		I		based structural	resource		scrap wood resources of	and now being further developed	research		

CONCLUSIONS

- This matrix will aid in pinpointing solutions available and engage providers in a summit to identify the most appropriate reuse/recycling strategies based on global experiences but framed in an Australian context.
- Careful planning and consideration to minimise environmental impact and maximise resource efficiency are required







ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

Prof Jeff Morrell for starting this project!

All the industry partners for their support!

Research Team: Drs Penelope Mitchell, Martin Strandgard Mohammad Reza Ghaffariyan, Sanjeev Srivastava, Ms Mel Harris

Russ Martin (MS2 Consulting) for providing the regulatory information

THANKS!

For further information: tsingh1@usc.edu.au





FIVE UNUSUAL TREE DEFECTS WHICH HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO ELECTRIC UTILITY WILDFIRES

DAY & ASSOCIATES

Consulting Arborist & Tree Pathologist





AGENDA

- 1. Defective Codominant Stems and Trunks
- 2. Hidden External Cavities and Old Fire Scars
- 3. Visible Indicators of Sapwood Decay
- 4. Obscure Root and Butt Rot
- 5. Artificially Supported and Weak Trees Released by Natural and Human Events

INTRODUCTION

Vegetation is typically the most frequent cause of utility-related wildfire ignition.





CODOMINANT STEMS AND TRUNKS

<u>Codominant stems</u>: Two stems growing from the same point of attachment, and are of equal or similar size (diameter). Codominant stems, per se, are not inherently hazardous.

<u>Shown</u>: Codominant stems, requiring monitoring versus immediate removal.



CODOMINANT STEMS AND TRUNKS

Structurally <u>sound</u> codominant stems, with a strong wood-to-wood attachment and no splits or cracks at the stem union.



1. DEFECTIVE CODOMINANT STEMS AND TRUNKS

Defective, structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant stems. History of splits/cracks below the stem union. Cracks may appear to be open or closed, <u>but either way, represent a potential hazard.</u>


Structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant trunks. History of splits/cracks at and below the trunk union. Tree removal is the only option.



Structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant trunks. History of splits/cracks at and below the trunk union. Tree removal is the only option.



Structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant trunks. History of splits/cracks at and below the trunk union. Tree removal is the only option.



Defective, structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant stems. Stems may be solid wood, but the attachment is weak and hazardous.



Defective, structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant stems. History of splits/cracks at and below the stem union. This was the area of failure which resulted in contact with a distribution line.



Defective, structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant stems. Embedded bark with no fused wood contact, indicating a weak attachment. Bark does not fuse together, as does wood.



Defective, structurally <u>unsound</u> codominant stems. Note development of woundwood ribs (excessive wood growth) as an indicator of a tree's natural response to defects.



Gray pine with a lean toward conductors within strike distance. Suspect tree is outside of the utility easement/R.O.W., which is often the case.



Base of the same gray pine after failure, showing old fire scar, trunk decay, and loss of major anchor roots—all of which were hidden from normal utility easement view angles.



Base of pine with old fire scar and open cavity, exposing extent of remaining holding wood. All of these indicators were hidden from view along the utility corridor (road) in background.



Same pine stump as viewed from the utility corridor (easement). Open cavity and old fire scar are not visible.



Same pine: arrow indicates top of vertically attenuated old fire scar. Splintered wood represents lower end of failure fracture which occurred directly above the cavity and fire scar.



Ponderosa pine leaning toward conductors, with no obvious defects as viewed from utility easement; however...



The same pine with an old fire scar and open cavity with moderate to advanced wood decay. The anchor roots at grade are decayed.



Douglas-fir with multiple fire scars, and only limited internal wood decay. Arrows indicate extensive woundwood response attempting to close the original open wound.



Mid-level trunk of a Douglas-fir broken out by strong wind as a direct cause, and sapwood decay as a proximate cause. Commonly, decayed trunks break out at 15'-35' above grade.



Same Douglas-fir showing signs of serious sapwood decay, with pouch-like fungal fruiting bodies protruding through the bark.



Punk knots extending from the inner wood to the outside of the bark. These are fruiting bodies of advanced sapwood decay fungi, and can mimic branch stubs.



Conks or shelf-like fruiting bodies of fungi causing serious decay of trunk wood.



Only present during autumn, these 'honey-cap' mushrooms indicate very serious decay of the lower trunk and root flare sapwood. These mushrooms do not grow on the trunk.



Yellowish-white nodules on the bark of many tree species represent the beginning stages of fungal fruiting bodies that indicate serious sapwood decay.



230' tall Douglas-fir prior to its total and catastrophic failure onto an adjacent road.Cause: root and butt rot + gravity. Utilities are underground in this area.



Reddish-brown color of butt rot. This fungus most commonly affects Douglas-fir, and not most other conifer species. A basic knowledge of species-profiling is helpful.



Same tree, showing delamination effect of advanced root decay caused by laminated root rot. The wood is spongy and structurally unsound.



Swollen lower trunk of a deciduous tree with serious wood decay. Even in the absence of a fungal fruiting body, this symptom is diagnostic of internal wood decay and can cause failure.



In the absence of these ephemeral fruiting bodies, the obscure root and butt rot of affected trees often goes unnoticed to the untrained eye.



5. ARTIFICIALLY SUPPORTED AND WEAK TREES RELEASED BY NATURAL AND HUMAN EVENTS

The red dot represents a gray pine which slowly bent over and contacted a conductor after being released by the removal of adjacent trees which were artificially supporting it.



5. ARTIFICIALLY SUPPORTED AND WEAK TREES RELEASED BY NATURAL AND HUMAN EVENTS

Stump of the gray pine next to the logs of removed trees which artificially supported the gray pine for several years.



5. ARTIFICIALLY SUPPORTED AND WEAK TREES RELEASED BY NATURAL AND HUMAN EVENTS

A gray pine immediately adjacent to the failed pine in the prior slide is shown here being artificially supported by other trees.



Summary

 Many unusual circumstances can contribute to tree failures, resulting in utility wildfires.
 The power of observation during inspections is priceless.
 A photo is worth 1,000 words!

THANK YOU!

DAY & ASSOCIATES

Consulting Arborist & Tree Pathologist



Small-Scale Performance Testing Methods for Fire Protection Systems for Wood Poles



Fire is a Perennial Issue in the West

- Droughts are regular in the western USA
- Annual dry season



Ongoing fires as of 7/12/21



https://www.cnn.com/2021/06/17/weather/west-california-drought-maps/index.html

https://www.nifc.gov/fire-information/nfn

Wood Poles at Risk

- Utility assets in need of protection
- Resistance to low level fires
- Maintain structure until remediation is possible



Wood Pole Fire Protection Systems

- Wraps/meshes
- Intumescent coatings
- Foams, spray on products



Genics mesh wraps



Hexion Armorbuilt wraps



WoodPoles.org

Wood Pole Fire Testing

- All novel solutions require testing
- Testing centers are very expensive
- Single furnace tests for wood poles:
 - at least \$5000 per burn
 - Minimum 2 replicate burns
 - Not suitable for screening



Full-Scale Vertical Furnace
Inexpensive Small-Scale Testing Solutions

• OSU Utility Pole Research Cooperative developed testing methods over two decades



UPRC 1999 Annual Report

UPRC 2006 Annual Report

UPRC 2014 Annual Report

Heat Panel-Based Fire Testing Apparatus

- Small scale fire test- Built by Milo Clausen
- Relatively uniform heat application
- Reproducible conditions vs. open flame







Recent Test Modifications

Remote operation on Generators

- Generators now used as power source
- Surface temps up to ~700°C in 6 minutes for untreated wood





Measuring Performance

• Several performance parameters can compare treatment performance





Check Widening

Circumference Loss and Char Depth

Rapid Testing of New Commercial Products

• Previous work by Konkler and Morrell compared barriers and coatings



Brooks Polyurea Barriers

FireGuard Coating Sun Seeker Copper Care Coating Barrier Genics Fire Mesh

Adaptation for Crossarm Testing

- Fire retardant-treated crossarms: Fire Protection Coating
- Titanium Dioxide coating



Improvements for Apparatus Durability

- Insulation, spacing, and wiring improved system durability
- Proven performance in over 40+ consecutive burns in one week
- Plans for write up into standard protocol





The Utility Pole Research Cooperative

- You can participate in Utility Pole Cooperative Research
- Annual report and annual meeting
- Utility partnerships on research projects

https://utilpole.forestry.oregonstate.edu/



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Oregon State University Matthew Konkler Jed Cappellazzi Jeff Morrell

Utility Members Clark Public Utilities Fortis Alberta Inc. Idaho Power Pacific Gas & Electric PacifiCorp **Portland General Electric** Puget Sound Energy Salt River Project Snohomish Public Utility District Xcel Energy

Associate members

Arxada Brooks Manufacturing Co. Copper Care Inc. Genics Inc. Hexion Inc. Intec Services Inc. Koppers Osmose Utilities Services, Inc. Poles Inc. **RioTinto Minerals** SmartFume Co. **Stella Jones** Wood Care Systems Viance LLC





OUR CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORLD

Optum

Enhancing patient satisfaction, providing convenient prescription medication fulfillment with the ease of pharmacy home delivery



Assisting in resource allocation, economic development, and international collaboration among others, fostering societal progress and citizen well-being.



Reducing Wildfire risks using technology and AI



FIELD OPS AI

Welcome to Epik Solutions' presentation on revolutionizing wildfire mitigation through cutting-edge technology and artificial intelligence. At Epik Solutions, we're at the forefront of integrating advanced technology and AI to empower wildfire mitigation programs. Our approach centers around leveraging the ESRI technology stack to develop innovative tools specifically tailored to support the Wildfire Mitigation Plan (WMP).

These tools facilitate both field and desktop inspections with unparalleled precision and efficiency. By merging real-time data analysis with Al-driven insights, our solutions enable rapid and accurate assessment of wildfire risks and mitigation potential.





Damage Caused by Wildfires in the United States, 1984-2020



Coordination center +	Acres 🗢	Hectares +	Suppression costs 🗢	Structures destroyed +
Alaska Interagency	171,045.7	69,219.7	\$14,837,241.00	8
Northwest Area	1,925,434.2	779,195.6	\$334,672,820.78	4,473
Northern California Area	3,961,089.6	1,602,996.1	\$1,369,875,556.25	7,410
Southern California Area	1,241,246.5	502,314.6	\$751,084,644.00	1,824
Northern Rockies	359,948.6	145,666.0	\$71,770,047.00	222
Great Basin	891,689.5	360,853.9	\$236,649,112.00	172
Southwest Area	1,036,287.6	419,370.7	\$192,069,000.96	63
Rocky Mountain Area	818,608.6	331,279.1	\$276,080,314.34	212
Eastern Area	10,508.4	4,252.6	\$522,398.58	19
Southern Area	2,678,366.3	1,083,896.4	\$14,692,891.11	313
Totals ^[a]	13,094,224.9	5,299,044.8	\$3,262,254,026.02	14,716
a. ^ Year-to-date totals as of October 21, 2020				

a. A Year-to-date totals as of October 21, 2020

Since 1983, the National Interagency Fire Center has documented an average of ~70,000 wildfires per year

Comparison of burn siz 10 sub-regions



Sources: <u>https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-wildfires</u> https://gacc.nifc.gov/sacc/predictive/intelligence/NationalLargeIncidentYTDReport.pdf

Comparison of burn size, fire suppression cost, and razed structure count across



FOCUS AREA

Electric

- System Inspection (SI) contains electric system components like transformers, Ο transmission lines, power grids
- Vegetation Management (VM) contains mainly like trees, wooden poles etc Ο







OPPORTUNITIES AND PAIN POINTS

Admin SMEs

"We do not have realtime information about the status of review tasks done by our team" Field Specialist / Desktop Specialist

"Current Excel-based tools are resource intensive and slow down the laptop. It takes 5-10 minutes to even get things to barely work" "I have to carry additional monitor screens when I go for field work because it is difficult to work with so many (12) apps simultaneously on one screen"

"We are unable to track changes or reasons for changes in survey records" "If a specialist has to delete a discrepancy, they have to manually edit all discrepancies or lose all the information" "Some apps are restricted to iPad only. Hence, we have to put things in SharePoint or emails for transferring data between devices. Furthermore, emails have a limitation on attachments"

Dispatcher

"It is stressful to get the Dispatch out within three days, and we work long hours to make it happen"

"There is a lot of manual repetitive work in the dispatching process. This makes the output vulnerable to human error. So we have to put a lot of effort into verifying everything multiple times to reduce errors"

KSO

SIMPLIFICATION





CRAWL, WALK AND RUN



Overall

 Partner to improve processes and built tools for efficiency, accuracy, and reliability



- Shadow, understand business process and technology
- Leveraging our expert manpower, assist in running processes, identify improvements, frame roadmap



- Phase I
- Roll out APP to all programs through multiple releases
- Collaborate with teams to ensure seamless transition
- Create a roadmap for continuous improvements



Phase II

- Build Data
 Warehouse which house all data to enable data driven insights and act as source of truth for all reporting
- Deeper business engagement to further identify areas of improvement
- Customized reporting



Phase III

- Intelligent inspection capabilities using AI/ML
- Predictive analytics to detect and rectify issues before they snowball
- Comprehensive tracking of assets

PIKSO

 Real time data access IMPACTS

Comprehensive Tracking

- System Health tracking based on real time data, historic data and inspection records for equipment
- Trend analysis based on similar equipments
- Proactive Prescriptive Maintenance over Descriptive Maintenance

Risk Scoring

- Risk scoring of all equipment based on equipment health, similar equipments, weather and other local parameters
- Field auditors can focus on high risk equipment rather than spray & pray (random sampling)

Intelligent Inspection

- Built-in error detection for missing or incorrect data in field study
- AI based detection of system anomalies using of photos, videos and survey data
- Automated corroboration of field findings with historic and current inspections





Increasing capabilities

VALUE CREATION



Have we responded accurately and quickly?

Prescriptive

How should we respond based on the insights?













T&D SERVICES

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

PRODUCTS

Overcoming utility infrastructure challenges by merging excellence in engineering, science and technology with a passion for client satisfaction.